ghettos and periodically raided these villages and killed and tortured thousands of Jews. It was necessary not only for the Jewish citizens, but for their religious leaders, the rabbis, to keep arms in their basements to protect themselves. It is true we do not hear of murders of Jews today but religious discrimination continues to exist in Russia. During the week of September 13, I attended a conference given by the National Vigil for Soviet Jewry and I heard with horror and anguish the discrimination, repression and bigotry to which the Jewish people in Russia are being subjected.

Let us join in urging Russia to extend to the Jews the same rights and privileges enjoyed by other Soviet national and religious groups, to enable Jews to participate once more in their cultural traditions and in their communal institutions so that these traditions and institutions may be enhanced and perpetuated, to permit the local manufacture, import, and distribution of religious articles which are vital to Judaism, to permit Soviet Jewry to maintain religious and cultural bonds with Jewish communities abroad, to permit Jews whose families were ruptured by the Nazi catyclysm to reunite with them in other lands and to use every other possible means to eliminate anti-Semitism in the Soviet Union.

Let us unite in reaffirming to the Soviets and to all nations our belief that mankind the world over should be free from tyranny and oppression. I am hoping that before the end of the 89th Congress the Members of this body, by passage of House Concurrent Resolution 177, shall express themselves with such certainty that there can be no mistake on this vital issue.

PSYCHOLOGICAL AND PERSONAL-ITY TESTING

(Mr. EDWARDS of Alabama asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, to revise and extend his remarks, and include extraneous matter.)

Mr. EDWARDS of Alabama. Mr. Speaker, many citizens of the country are encouraged that a Special Subcommittee of the House Government Operations Committee has conducted a thorough inquiry into the matter of psychological and personality testing.

And any recommendations the subcommittee can provide will surely be welcome. It seems clear that some kind of remedial action is needed, through legis-

lation or another approach.

There are two aspects to the growing problem. The first is with regard to the testing of psychological conditions. The second has to do with tests meant for measurement of academic achievement but which are evidently being slanted in a way which conveys political or ideological significance

No responsible person will quarrel with the need for having either kind of testing. They have a proper place in education and in the study of psychology.

But they must be recognized as tools which can be used for ill purpose, either by overly enthusiastic educators or a Federal bureaucracy directed by an ambitious political regime.

Never before have the American people been subjected to such threats to privacy by the Government itself or by Government subsidized organizations. We are told that wiretapping by some Government agencies is now regarded as an accepted practice. At least one agency is engaging in organized practice of various techniques to invade the private lives of individuals and families.

Add to this the abuse of testing, and we are faced with a situation which brings us far closer to the "1984" of George Orwell, and the "The Brave New World," of Aldous Huxley.

We pride ourselves on being individuals, and we trace our history to men and women who sought freedom from op-

pression of any kind.

Yet today we seem to be marching without effective protest into a situation in which a Washington directorate can act as a "big brother" by making rules and setting standards from which no deviation is tolerated.

News reports published this morning tell of a great new testing program being undertaken by the Office of Education. The program will not only test more young people than ever before, but will also go into new kinds of questions, and there is serious doubt as to what, if any, limitations apply to what kinds of questions may be asked of our young people in public schools. The objectives of this new program are not primarily to measure academic achievement, but rather to adjust social conditions to conform with ideas established in the Federal bureaucracy.

We do know that thousands of schoolchildren have been asked of their attitudes toward sex, religion, and family relationships. And we know that children cannot be expected to do anything but tolerate even very personal questioning.

We also know that in today's political climate, Government employees or private industry employees where Government contracts are a big factor and applicants for Federal jobs, all submit to tests in a docile manner.

It has been brought to light in the past 2 weeks that political connotations have been conveyed in some tests. And the ranking Republican member of the House Education and Labor Special Subcommittee on Education has asked the Commissioner of Education if Federal funds are being used to purchase politically slanted materials used in public schools.

This is a problem area which badly needs the continuing and extensive attention of the American people and,

therefore, of the Congress.

In each case in world history in which a representative government has deteriorated into dictatorship, control of attitudes of the people has been a major tool of a power-hungry government clique. And it has been accomplished under the guise of welfare or progress. And in each case the people sat by quietly at the early stages of development of this control, until the point of no return had been reached.

any opportunity for anyone to speak out for their rights as individuals and for the principles of free speech and individuality.

Surely, this country will not permit that to happen here.

I include in my remarks an editorial from the Wall Street Journal of today which touches on this subject.

PEEPING ON THE GRAND SCALE

Psychological testing, like testing for aptitudes, doubtless has its place and uses. But it is a question whether the wholesale peeping into people's minds that is going on in government, industry, and schools is desirable, necessary, or even effective.

Winding up a 3-month inquiry into such psychological and personality testing, a House Government Operations Subcommittee heard pleas from a number of witnesses that Congress adopt curbs against the indiscriminate use of the quizzes. It is easy to see why.

The committee found, among other nauseous examples, that employees of the Bonneville Power Administration being considered for promotion were asked questions like "Which would you rather do: (a) kiss a person of the opposite sex, or (b) experiment with new things. Choose one."

It further learned that the Labor Department last year gave psychological tests to more than 20,000 applicants for counseling jobs in youth opportunity projects. The applicants were supposed to give their reactions to the following kinds of statement: "Most people worry too much about sex," and, think Lincoln was greater than Washington."

Moreover, thousands of schoolchildren, under research projects financed by the U.S. Office of Education, have undergone psychological testing in an attempt to probe their attitudes toward sex, religion, and family life.

Perhaps the most extraordinary thing about it all is the docility with which candidates for Government jobs, Federal employees, people in industry—where testing seems on the increase—and schoolchildren tolerate the intimate questioning. Especially with a tool still of dubious value.

One reason, perhaps, is that advanced by Dr. Karl Smith, professor of industrial psy-chology at the University of Wisconsin: "The American people have been fooled into believing that a few simple-minded true-false or multiple-choice questions can be used to to forecast the careers of their children in school and in the university and to predict their own careers in work because of two influences: Fear of the pseudo-quantitative, mental-medical mumbo-jumbo of the psychiatrist and clinical psychologist, and the misleading propaganda of organized psychology in claiming that guesswork and statistical shotgun procedures have medical and scientific significance."

If that is true, maybe what's really needed to bridle the inquisitive testers is not a new law but simply the application of a little horsesense and elementary respect for privacy.

U.S. TRADE SURPLUS SHRINKS-MORE BALANCE-OF-PAYMENTS TROUBLE AHEAD

(Mr. CURTIS (at the request of Mr. Del Clawson) was granted permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. CURTIS. Mr. Speaker, for years, while the United States has been experiencing recurrent deficits in its balance of payments, we could always point with pride at our growing trade surplus. And then, of course, there is no longer It has been this trade surplus that has

Approved For Release 2003/11/04: CIA-RDP67B00446R000500200054-6

helped to finance the individual deficit items in our balance-of-payments account without running as large an overall deficit as we otherwise would have done. One reason for the strength of our trade position has been the remarkable stability of U.S. export prices while the export prices of foreign nations have moved sharply upward. Recently, however, both these trends have begun to slow down in a manner that may spell trouble for the United States.

International Monetary Fund reports show that the average price of U.S. exports, which as recently as last fall stood at 103 percent of the 1958 average, no higher than in 1961, has jumped to 105 percent. At the same time, the export price indexes of many other trading nations seem to be leveling off. The index covering the major industrial nations of continental Europe, for instance, rose 4 percent between mid-1963 and mid-1964, but has remained at 104 percent of the 1958 average since early last year.

This may account in part for the fact that during the first 7 months of 1965, our trade surplus as a seasonally adjusted annual rate was \$4.9 billion, or down about \$2 billion from the 1964 level. Imports through July were running at an annual rate of 12 percent above the 1964 level while exports were only 2 percent higher.

We do not have to look very far to find a reason for this behavior. It is no coincidence that IMF figures show that living costs in many foreign nations are climbing less sharply than they once were while, at the same time, there are signs of a faster rise in the U.S. cost of living. In recent years, the U.S. index has risen about 1.2 percent annually, but in the first half of this year, the rise has amounted to 1.1 percent. The period of mild annual price rises may well be over.

The rapid pace of the American economy has certainly put increasing upward pressure on U.S. prices. Some indications of the price pressure are that our factories are now operating at about 90 percent of capacity and unemployment among married men, the backbone of the labor force, now amounts to about 2.3 percent, down sharply from the 5.1 percent early in the current economic expansion. In addition, the prospect of increased defense spending for Vietnam is in the wind.

There appears to be no such mounting price pressure in the foreign countries that compete with the United States in world markets. There are indications that Europe's economic expansion is slowing down, most probably because of restrictive, anti-inflationary government policies.

A recent study by the Boston Federal Reserve Bank also indicates the U.S. competitive position in world markets may be getting rapidly weaker. All of these factors pointing to a deterioration in the U.S. trade position do not necessarily mean that we are going to lose our position as world trade leader. But, as a recent article in the Wall Street Journal points out, the record of recent months suggests that massive trade surpluses are by no means guaranteed. And with the shrinkage of these significant surpluses,

万二二一樓 (Marting are)

this country's balance-of-payments problem may become worse than it already is. Under unanimous consent, I include the article in the August 23 edition of the Wall Street Journal, in the Record at this point:

THE OUTLOOK: APPRAISAL OF CURRENT TRENDS IN BUSINESS AND FINANCE

For years the large and growing trade surplus of the United States has been the envy of foreign capitals. In 1964 it reached a record \$6.7 billion and, though the final total probably won't match last year's, all signs point to another multibillion-dollar surplus for 1965. A singular statistic helps explain this happy trend: The average price of U.S. exports has remained remarkably flat in a period when the general price movement in world markets has been sharply upward

world markets has been sharply upward.
Very recently, however, this pleture has begun to change—in a manner that does not augur well for the United States. International Monetary Fund reports show that the average price of U.S. exports, which as recently as last fall stood at 103 percent of the 1958 average of 100, has jumped to 105; the significance of this increase can be appreciated if one considers that the export price index, at 103 last fall, was no higher than in 1051

At the same time, after climbing steadily for years, the export price indexes of many other trading nations appear to be leveling off. The index covering the major industrial countries of continental Europe, for instance, has remained at 104 percent of the 1958 average since early last year; between mid-1963 and mid-1964, in contrast, this index climbed 4 percent.

In Japan, export prices have stood at 101 percent of the 1958 base since the start of last year; in the previous 2 years, by comparison, the Japanese index elimbed more than 4 percent. At 98, Canada's export price index is actually a point below the level at the end of last year. Other countries where export prices have declined in recent months include Italy, Denmark, the Netherlands, Norway, and Switzerland. Export prices have remained fiat, or nearly so, in the United Kingdom, France, and West Germany.

By no coincidence, IMF figures show, living costs in many of these nations are climbing less sharply—at the very time there are signs of a faster rise in the U.S. cost of living. In France, where President de Gaulle has launched an anti-inflation drive, living costs have barely budged since the start of the year. In the previous 12 months, by comparison, they increased nearly 4 percent, and the gain was even sharper before 1964.

The living-cost pattern appears similar in such other lands as West Germany, Italy, and Britain, where the government has recently taken major steps to hold down prices. In Japan, where the cost of living had been rising especially swiftly, living costs actually fell in a recent month.

The U.S. cost-of-living index, on the other hand, has begun to move up at a faster pace. In recent years, the U.S. index has risen at the relatively mild rate of about 1.2 percent annually. In only the first half of this year, however, the rise has amounted to 1.1 percent, a gain that clearly indicates the recent period of 1.2 percent annual gains may be over.

The rapid pace of the American economy, of course, has tended to put increasing upward pressure on U.S. prices. American factories, which a few years ago were using less than 80 percent of their full capacity, now are operating at about 90 percent, according to Federal estimates. This rate, history suggests, is dangerously near the level at which prices begin to move up swiftly

at which prices begin to move up swiftly.
Similar pressure on U.S. prices is indicated
by labor statistics. The rate of unemployment among married men, the backbone

of the labor force, amounts to only 2.3 percent, down sharply from 5.1 percent early in the current economic expansion. On top of all this, the prospect of rising defense outlays for Vietnam can only add inflationary pressure.

There appears to be no such mounting price pressure in many countries that compete with the United States in world markets. A recent report by New York's Chase Manhattan Bank states that "the tempo of Europe's economic expansion has slowed considerably this year" and attributes the slowdown to "restrictive, anti-inflationary policies on the part of most governments."

For instance, according to the report, wage rates in most European countries are rising more slowly than a year ago. In France, typically, wages climbed only 2 percent in the first half of 1965, down from a 3.2 percent gain in the like 1964 period. It also should be noted that the Vietnam war is placing relatively little strain on most European economies; Britain, in fact, recently announced a \$616 million slash in its annual defense budget, as part of its fight against inflation.

A study by the Boston Federal Reserve Bank, discussed in the July issue of the bank's monthly business review, also indicates the U.S. competitive position in world markets may be getting rapidly weaker. The study, which covered some 200 types of consumer goods, concludes that in foreign markets "our position has sharply deteriorated."

In addition to developments on the price front, there is some increasing concern over the make-up of U.S. trade statistics. Studies indicate the big surpluses of recent years reflect more than simply successful competition in the world markets. They also reflect such factors as Government grants and exports by U.S. companies to their foreign-based subsidiaries. One study, which scrutinized the trade figures for a recent year, found that a \$5.4 billion trade surplus melted down to a \$500 million surplus after such factors were discounted.

To be sure, it is by no means certain that Uncle Sam is about to lose his position as titan of world trade. It is not clear, for instance, that the recent jump in U.S. export prices signals a long-term trend or that Europe's drive against inflation will succeed. Nevertheless, the record of recent months suggests that continuing massive trade surpluses are by no means guaranteed. Without such surpluses, it is hardly necessary to add, this country's balance-of-payments problem, already worrisome, could become dire.

ALFRED L. MALABRE, Jr.

BENEFICIAL EFFECTS FLOWING FROM SUSPENDING THE IMPORT DUTY ON NICKEL

(Mr. SCHNEEBELI (at the request of Mr. DEL CLAWSON) was granted permission to extend his remarks at this point in the Record and to include extraneous matter.)

Mr. SCHNEEBELI. Mr. Speaker, it is gratifying to report to the House an article commenting on the beneficial effects flowing from our recent congressional action in suspending the import duty on nickel. Following House approval of this legislation, the unanimous vote by the Senate, and the approving signature of the President, the Canadian exporters passed along by a price cut the entire amount of the suspended duty of 1½ cents per pound. This action "removes the price disadvantage previously